

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 19-1181V

Filed: January 22, 2021

UNPUBLISHED

██████████,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Joint Stipulation on Damages;
Influenza (Flu) Vaccine; Guillain-
Barre Syndrome (GBS); Chronic
Inflammatory Demyelinating
Polyneuropathy (CIDP)

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for petitioner.

Mollie Danielle Gorney, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON JOINT STIPULATION¹

On August 13, 2019, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleges that he suffered Guillain-Barre Syndrome (“GBS”) and Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (“CIDP”) as a result of his October 18, 2016 flu vaccination. Petition at 1; Stipulation, filed January 21, 2021, at ¶¶ 4. Petitioner further alleges that he experienced the effects of his injuries for more than six months and that there has been no prior award or settlement for damages as a result of his condition. Petition at 1-2; Stipulation at ¶¶ 5. “Respondent denies that the influenza vaccine caused petitioner to suffer from GBS, CIDP, or any other injury or his current condition ” Stipulation at ¶ 6.

Nevertheless, on January 21, 2021, the parties filed the attached joint stipulation, stating that a decision should be entered awarding compensation. I find the stipulation

¹ Because this decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master’s action in this case, it will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. See 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, it will be redacted from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all “§” references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

reasonable and adopt it as the decision of the Court in awarding damages, on the terms set forth therein.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Stipulation, **I award the following compensation:**

A lump sum of \$141,554.05 in the form of a check payable to petitioner. Stipulation at ¶ 8. This amount represents compensation for all items of damages that would be available under § 15(a). *Id.*

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Daniel T. Horner

Daniel T. Horner
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.