

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: November 12, 2020

* * * * *

[REDACTED]

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

* * * * *

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

UNPUBLISHED

No. 16-1413V

Special Master Dorsey

Decision Based on Stipulation;
Influenza (“Flu”) Vaccine; Shoulder
Injury Related to Vaccine
Administration.

Leah V. Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for petitioner.
Ryan D. Pyles, US Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION BASED ON STIPULATION¹

On October 27, 2016, [REDACTED] (“petitioner”) filed a petition in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² Petitioner alleged that as a result of influenza (“flu”) vaccine on September 15, 2015, she suffered from a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”). Petition at Preamble (ECF No. 1).

On November 12, 2020, the parties filed a stipulation recommending an award of compensation to petitioner. Stipulation (ECF No. 83). Respondent continues to maintain his contrary position to the undersigned’s Ruling on Entitlement, finding petitioner entitled to

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access.

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-1 to -34 (2012) (“Vaccine Act” or “the Act”). All citations in this Decision to individual sections of the Vaccine Act are to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa.

compensation, but will not seek review of the undersigned's determination of entitlement upon its memorialization as a reviewable decision. Nevertheless, the parties agree to the joint stipulation, attached hereto as Appendix A. The undersigned finds the stipulation reasonable and adopts it as the decision of the Court in awarding damages, on the terms set forth therein.

The parties stipulate that petitioner shall receive the following compensation:

(1) A lump sum of \$87,464.26 in the form of a check payable to petitioner.

This amount represents compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a). Stipulation at ¶ 8.

The undersigned approves the requested amount for petitioner's compensation. Accordingly, an award should be made consistent with the stipulation.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the Clerk of Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora B. Dorsey

Nora B. Dorsey
Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment is expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.