In the United States Court of Federal Claims OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: April 29, 2021

*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	k	*	
													*	UNPUBLISHED
													*	
				Pe	titio	ner	,						*	No. 19-235V
													*	
v.													*	Special Master Gowen
													*	
SE	CR	ETA	ARY	Υ OI	FHI	EAI	LTH	[*	Stipulation; Influenza (Flu);
٨N	ND I	HUI	MA	N S	ERV	VIC	ES,						*	Shoulder Injury Related to
													*	Vaccine Administration (SIRVA)
				Re	spo	nde	nt.						*	
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	×	k	*	

Leah V. Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, D.C., for petitioner. *Christine M. Becer*, United States Department of Justice, Washington, D.C., for respondent.

DECISION ON STIPULATION¹

On February 12, 2019, **Compensation** ("petitioner"), filed a petition for compensation in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.² Petition (ECF No. 1). Petitioner received an influenza ("flu") vaccine, which vaccine is contained in the Vaccine Injury Table (the "Table"), on October 3, 2017. Petitioner alleges that she sustained a right shoulder injury related to vaccine administration ("SIRVA") within the time period set forth in the Table, or in the alternative, that her alleged shoulder injury was caused by the vaccine. She further alleges that she experienced the residual effects of this condition for more than six months.

On April 28, 2021, respondent filed a stipulation which provides that a decision should be entered awarding compensation to petitioner. Stipulation (ECF No. 33). Respondent denies that petitioner suffered a SIRVA Table injury. *Id.* at \P 6. Respondent also denies that the flu vaccine

¹ Pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002, see 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012), **because this opinion contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post it on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims.** The Court's website is at http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7. Before the opinion is posted on the Court's website, each party has 14 days to file a motion requesting redaction "of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." Vaccine Rule 18(b). An objecting party must provide the Court with a proposed redacted version of the opinion. *Id.* If neither party files a motion for redaction within 14 days, the opinion will be posted on the Court's website without any changes. *Id.*

² The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to 34 (2012) (hereinafter "Vaccine Act" or "the Act"). Hereinafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

caused petitioner to suffer from a right shoulder injury or any other injury or her current condition. *Id.* Maintaining their above-stated positions, the parties nevertheless now agree that the issues between them shall be settled and that a decision should be entered awarding the compensation described in paragraph 8 of the stipulation, which is attached hereto as Appendix A. *Id.* at \P 7.

The stipulation awards a lump sum of \$53,203.93 in the form of a check payable to petitioner. This lump sum represents compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(a).

I adopt the stipulation as the decision of the Court and hereby award compensation in the amount and on the terms set forth therein. Accordingly, the Clerk of Court **SHALL ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with the terms of the parties' stipulation.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Thomas L. Gowen

Thomas L. Gowen Special Master

 $^{^{3}}$ Entry of judgment is expedited by each party's filing notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).