

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 21-0365V

██████████,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: August 28, 2024

Leah VaSahnja Durant, Law Offices of Leah V. Durant, PLLC, Washington, DC, for Petitioner.

Katherine Carr Esposito, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION AWARDING DAMAGES¹

On January 8, 2021, ██████████ filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). On May 9, 2023, Petitioner filed an amended petition. Petitioner alleges that she suffered a right shoulder injury related to vaccine administration (“SIRVA”) resulting from the adverse effects of a Tetanus diphtheria (“Td”) vaccine received on August 9, 2020. Amended Petition at 1. Petitioner further alleges that she received the vaccine in the United States, she suffered the residual effects of her injury for more than six months, and neither Petitioner nor any other party has ever received compensation in the form of an award or settlement for her vaccine-related injury. Amended Petition at ¶¶ 1, 10, 11. The case was assigned to the Special Processing Unit of the Office of Special Masters.

¹ Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

On May 11, 2023, a ruling on entitlement was issued, finding Petitioner entitled to compensation for SIRVA. On August 28, 2024, Respondent filed a proffer on award of compensation (“Proffer”) indicating Petitioner should be awarded \$113,957.49, in addition to funds to satisfy a Medicaid lien. Proffer at 2-3. In the Proffer, Respondent represented that Petitioner agrees with the proffered award. *Id.* Based on the record as a whole, I find that Petitioner is entitled to an award as stated in the Proffer.

Pursuant to the terms stated in the attached Proffer, **I award Petitioner the following:**

- a) **A lump sum payment of \$113,957.49 (comprised of \$112,000.00 in pain and suffering and \$1,957.49 for out of pocket expenses) in the form of a check payable to Petitioner, and**
- b) **A lump sum payment of \$1,518.93, representing compensation for satisfaction of the State of Wisconsin Medicaid lien, in the form of a check jointly payable to Petitioner and**

**Anthem
Attention: [REDACTED], Subrogation Analyst Sr.
P.O. Box 659940
San Antonio, TX 78625-9939**

Petitioner agrees to endorse this check to Anthem for satisfaction of the Medicaid lien.

These amounts represent compensation for all damages that would be available under Section 15(a).

The Clerk of Court is directed to enter judgment in accordance with this decision.³

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran
Brian H. Corcoran
Chief Special Master

³ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties’ joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.